

Lamar University

Fall 2016, PHYS 1402 College Physics II Section 1

Instructor: Drachenberg, James (Primary)

There were: 16 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Drachenberg Avg	Drachenberg f16	Div Avg	Sch Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
1	Learning objectives explained	3	4.333	4.470	4.556	4.262	4.256	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	St Agree		
2	Helped achieve learning	3	4.333	4.264	4.444	4.17	4.202	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
3	Course material delivered clearly	3	4.333	4.292	4.334	4.154	4.159	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
								Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
4	Syllabus was accurate	3	4.333	4.515	4.444	4.336	4.316	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	St Agree		
5	Assignments aided learning	3	4	4.176	4.334	4.172	4.204	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%		
6	Instructor available	3	4.667	4.676	4.889	4.263	4.284	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
7	Instructor stimulated interest	3	4.333	4.294	4.778	4.025	4.090	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
8	Teaching aids/methods in	3	4.667	4.334	4.444	4.068	4.127	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
9	Understood the subject matter	3	4.333	4.176	4.444	4.144	4.192	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
10	Overall, the instructor is a good teacher	3	4.667	4.588	4.889	4.252	4.276	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
11	Learned a lot overall	3	4.333	4.206	4.556	4.106	4.160	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
12	Grade reflects performance	3	4	4.059	4.222	4.136	4.195	0%	0%	33%	33%	33%		
								Always	Usually	Avg	Some	Never		
13	Attend scheduled web conferences to better understand course related material	3	1.333	1.618	1.111	2.876	2.961	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	St Agree		
14	Had a strong desire to take this course	3	3.333	3.441	4.334	3.624	3.778	33%	0%	0%	33%	33%		
								Never	Rarely	About 1/2	Freq	Always		
15	Was preped for class	3	86.667	84.412	80	85.240	85.920	0%	0%	0%	67%	33%		
								A	B	C	D	F		
16	Grade expected	3	3.333	4.088	3.889	4.172	4.332	33%	0%	33%	33%	0%		
								Fresh	Soph	Jr	Sr	Post-Bac	MA	Phd
17	Class	3						0%	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Req Major	Req No Major	Elec Major	Elec No Major	None		
18	Course relate to program of study	3						67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	St Agree		
19	Oppor. for class discuss	3	4.667	4.736	4.889	4.318	4.334	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
20	Students treated fairly	3	4.667	4.676	4.556	4.355	4.362	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%		
								Tradit	Distance Ed	Trad/ Online	Other			
24	How course delivered	3						100%	0%	0%	0%			
	Follow up --							Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
	Online course eval. survey allowed me to clearly express my opinions	3	3.333	4.178	3.889	4.228	4.19	33%	0%	0%	33%	33%		

Text Responses

Question: Strengths:

Loved this lecture because professor always tried to make it interesting for us even though he knew that we are not physics majors. Very kind and patient guy that is always willing to answer questions and wants you to do well!

Amazing teacher, was very understanding and relatively available to help when ever possible

Question: Weaknesses:

working problems that would best help with those on the test. I felt that the mastering physic program did not help with learning how to work the problems that where given on the test. I can not know or understand how to work a problem if i have never seen that problem or situation.