

Lamar University

Fall 2016, PHYS 4320 Introduction Quantum Mech Section 1

Instructor: Drachenberg, James (Primary)

There were: 7 possible respondents.

	Question Text	N	Avg	Drachenberg Avg	Drachenberg f16	Div Avg	Sch Avg	Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
1	Learning objectives explained	4	4.5	4.470	4.556	4.262	4.256	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
2	Helped achieve learning	4	4.25	4.264	4.444	4.17	4.202	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%		
3	Course material delivered clearly	4	4	4.292	4.334	4.154	4.159	0%	0%	50%	0%	50%		
								Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
4	Syllabus was accurate	4	4.25	4.515	4.444	4.336	4.316	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
5	Assignments aided learning	4	4.25	4.176	4.334	4.172	4.204	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%		
6	Instructor available	4	5	4.676	4.889	4.263	4.284	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
7	Instructor stimulated interest	4	5	4.294	4.778	4.025	4.090	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
8	Teaching aids/methods in	4	4	4.334	4.444	4.068	4.127	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%		
9	Understood the subject matter	4	4.25	4.176	4.444	4.144	4.192	0%	0%	25%	25%	50%		
10	Overall, the instructor is a good teacher	4	5	4.588	4.889	4.252	4.276	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
11	Learned a lot overall	4	4.5	4.206	4.556	4.106	4.160	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%		
12	Grade reflects performance	4	4	4.059	4.222	4.136	4.195	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%		
								Always	Usually	Avg	Some	Never		
13	Attend scheduled web conferences to better understand course related material	4	1	1.618	1.111	2.876	2.961	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
14	Had a strong desire to take this course	4	4.75	3.441	4.334	3.624	3.778	0%	0%	0%	25%	75%		
								Never	Rarely	About 1/2	Freq	Always		
15	Was preped for class	4	77.5	84.412	80	85.240	85.920	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%		
								A	B	C	D	F		
16	Grade expected	4	4.25	4.088	3.889	4.172	4.332	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%		
								Fresh	Soph	Jr	Sr	Post-Bac	MA	Phd
17	Class	4						0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
								Req Major	Req No Major	Elec Major	Elec No Major	None		
18	Course relate to program of study	4						100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
								St Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
19	Oppor. for class discuss	4	5	4.736	4.889	4.318	4.334	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
20	Students treated fairly	4	4.25	4.676	4.556	4.355	4.362	0%	25%	0%	0%	75%		
								Tradit	Distance Ed	Trad/Online	Other			
24	How course delivered	4						100%	0%	0%	0%			
								Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree		
	Follow up --													
	Online course eval. survey allowed me to clearly express my opinions	4	4	4.178	3.889	4.228	4.19	0%	0%	25%	50%	25%		

Text Responses

Question: Strengths:

Time, energy, and motivation.

Got me excited for the material at 8AM. Also extremely dedicated to helping and sets the course difficulty at just the right amount of hard without being overwhelming.

Question: Weaknesses:

Experience. With time will he will be an amazing educator.

Question: Additional Comments:

Had a great time. We need more instructors like this at Lamar.

Try making the slides more self-contained for a days worth of lecture, or add a review slide if a problem has to stretch days so that we can remember what all of the symbols and equations mean. Other than that, one of the best teachers I've had.