Investigations of the Number Derivative

Adam Simpson

Texas Section of the MAA

April 12, 2012



All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes pand positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes p and positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

Definition (Ufranovski, 2)

Number Derivative:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$

All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes p and positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

Definition (Ufranovski, 2)

Number Derivative:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$

All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes p and positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

Definition (Ufranovski, 2)

Number Derivative:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$

1
$$1' = 0$$

All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes p and positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

Definition (Ufranovski, 2)

Number Derivative:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$

1' = 0

$$p' = 1, \forall p \in primes$$

All positive integers $n \ge 2$ can be written as $n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$ for distinct primes p and positive integers x. This factorization is unique up to order.

Definition (Ufranovski, 2)

Number Derivative:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$

•
$$1' = 0$$

• $p' = 1$, $\forall p \in primes$
• $(ab)' = a'b + ab'$, $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (Product Rule)

Example $6' = (2 \cdot 3)'$

Example

 $6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3'$

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1)$$

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

______Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)'$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right)$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$

If we define (-1)' = 0, then our definition of the number derivative can be extended to the domain of integers.

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$

If we define (-1)' = 0, then our definition of the number derivative can be extended to the domain of integers.

$$(-6)' = (-1 \cdot 6)'$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$

If we define (-1)' = 0, then our definition of the number derivative can be extended to the domain of integers.

$$(-6)' = (-1 \cdot 6)' = (-1)' \cdot 6 + 6' \cdot (-1)$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$

If we define (-1)' = 0, then our definition of the number derivative can be extended to the domain of integers.

$$(-6)' = (-1 \cdot 6)' = (-1)' \cdot 6 + 6' \cdot (-1) = -(6')$$

Example

$$6' = (2 \cdot 3)' = 2' \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3' = (1) \cdot (3) + (2) \cdot (1) = 3 + 2 = 5$$

Example

$$45' = (3^2 \cdot 5)' = 3^2 \cdot 5\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{5}\right) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 + 3^2 = 30 + 9 = 39$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Z}$

If we define (-1)' = 0, then our definition of the number derivative can be extended to the domain of integers.

$$(-6)' = (-1 \cdot 6)' = (-1)' \cdot 6 + 6' \cdot (-1) = -(6') = -5$$

Extension From $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$

Recall the definition:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^k n\left(rac{x_i}{p_i}
ight)$

Let us allow our x_i to assume negative integer values.

Extension From $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$

Recall the definition:

If
$$n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{x_i}$$
, then $n' = \sum_{i=1}^k n\left(rac{x_i}{p_i}
ight)$

Let us allow our x_i to assume negative integer values.

$$\left(\frac{10}{9}\right)' = \left(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^{-2}\right)'$$
$$= \left(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 3^{-2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{-2}{3}\right)$$
$$= \frac{5}{9} + \frac{2}{9} - \frac{20}{27}$$
$$= \frac{1}{27}$$

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)} = \sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)} = \sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

Example $\left(2^{(3/4)}\right)'$

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)}=\sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

$$\left(2^{(3/4)}\right)' = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \left(\frac{3/4}{2}\right)$$

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)} = \sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

$$\left(2^{(3/4)}\right)' = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \left(\frac{3/4}{2}\right) = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \frac{3}{8}$$

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)} = \sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

$$(2^{(3/4)})' = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot (\frac{3/4}{2}) = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \frac{3}{8} = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot 2^{-3} \cdot 3$$

We can again redefine our definition to now allow our x_i to assume fractional values. $2^{(1/2)} = \sqrt{2}$ is such an irrational number.

$$\left(2^{(3/4)}\right)' = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \left(\frac{3/4}{2}\right) = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot \frac{3}{8} = 2^{(3/4)} \cdot 2^{-3} \cdot 3 = 2^{(-9/4)} \cdot 3$$

Number Differential Equations (NDEs):

Number Differential Equations (NDEs):

1
$$n' = 1, \quad n = p$$

These solutions come directly from the properties we defined. But they also happen to be uniquely prime.

Number Differential Equations (NDEs):

()
$$n' = 1, \quad n = p$$

These solutions come directly from the properties we defined. But they also happen to be uniquely prime.

2
$$n' = n$$
, $n = p^p$
Notice that $(p^p)' = p^p \cdot \left(\frac{p}{p}\right) = p^p$.

Adam Simpson Investigations of the Number Derivative

Number Differential Equations (NDEs):

()
$$n' = 1, \quad n = p$$

(

These solutions come directly from the properties we defined. But they also happen to be uniquely prime.

Notice that
$$(p^p)' = p^p \cdot \left(\frac{p}{p}\right) = p^p$$
.

 $\ \, {\bf 0} \ \, n'=2b, \quad \ \, {\rm (Goldbach \ Conjecture)}$

The Goldbach Conjecture states that every even number greater than 3 is the sum of two primes. So for two primes p and q, if there are solutions of type n = pq for every b > 1, then the Goldbach Conjecture holds. (Ufranovski, 6)

Number Differential Equations (NDEs):

1
$$n' = 1, \quad n = p$$

These solutions come directly from the properties we defined. But they also happen to be uniquely prime.

2
$$n' = n$$
, $n = p^p$
Notice that $(p^p)' = p^p \cdot \left(\frac{p}{p}\right) = p^p$.

•
$$n' = 2b$$
, (Goldbach Conjecture)

The Goldbach Conjecture states that every even number greater than 3 is the sum of two primes. So for two primes p and q, if there are solutions of type n = pq for every b > 1, then the Goldbach Conjecture holds. (Ufranovski, 6)

• n'' = 1, (Twin Primes Conjecture)

The Twin Primes Conjecture states that there are infinitely many prime pairs of the type p, p + 2. So if n = 2p, n' = p + 2, and thus if there are infinitely many solutions to (2p)'' = 1, then the Twin Primes Conjecture holds. (Ufranovski, 10)

Consider an arbitrary factorization of a positive integer $n. \ \mbox{Then}$

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$

Consider an arbitrary factorization of a positive integer n. Then

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$

This is analogous to the $k \times k$ determinant of the diagonal matrix of the form:

$p_{1}^{x_{1}}$	0	0	0	• • •	0
0	$p_2^{x_2}$	0	0		0
0	0	$p_{3}^{x_{3}}$	0		0
0	0	0	$p_{4}^{x_{4}}$		0
÷	÷	÷	÷	·	÷
0	0	0	0		$p_k^{x_k}$

Consider an arbitrary factorization of a positive integer n. Then

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{x_i}$$

This is analogous to the $k \times k$ determinant of the diagonal matrix of the form:

$p_1^{x_1}$	0	0	0	•••	0
0	$p_2^{x_2}$	0	0		0
0	0	$p_{3}^{x_{3}}$	0		0
0	0	0	$p_{4}^{x_{4}}$		0
÷	÷	÷	÷	·	÷
0	0	0	0		$p_{k}^{x_{k}}$

Since this matrix is diagonal the determinant of this matrix takes the same form as our factorization above.

If we extend this analogy to the number derivative,

$$n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$$

If we extend this analogy to the number derivative,

$$n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$$

we can show that the number derivative can be recast as the determinant of the arrowhead matrix below:

If we extend this analogy to the number derivative,

$$n' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n\left(\frac{x_i}{p_i}\right)$$

we can show that the number derivative can be recast as the determinant of the arrowhead matrix below:

$x_1 \cdot p_1^{x_1 - 1}$	$x_2 \cdot p_2^{x_2 - 1}$	$x_3 \cdot p_3^{x_3 - 1}$	$x_4 \cdot p_4^{x_4 - 1}$		$x_k \cdot p_k^{x_k - 1}$
$-p_{1}^{x_{1}}$	$p_2^{x_2}$	0	0		0
$-p_{1}^{x_{1}}$	0	$p_3^{x_3}$	0		0
$-p_{1}^{x_{1}}$	0	0	$p_{4}^{x_{4}}$		0
÷	:	:	:	·	÷
$-p_{1}^{x_{1}}$	0	0	0		$p_k^{x_k}$

Proof of the $2\times 2~\mathrm{Case}$

Consider a number n having only two prime factors, i.e. $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$. We must show that our determinant gives us the same result when applying the product rule to this factorization, namely,

$$n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2 + x_2 p_2^{x_1 - 1} p_1.$$

Proof of the $2\times 2~\mathrm{Case}$

Consider a number n having only two prime factors, i.e. $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$. We must show that our determinant gives us the same result when applying the product rule to this factorization, namely,

$$n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2 + x_2 p_2^{x_1 - 1} p_1.$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof of the 2×2 Case

Consider a number n having only two prime factors, i.e. $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$. We must show that our determinant gives us the same result when applying the product rule to this factorization, namely,

$$n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2 + x_2 p_2^{x_1 - 1} p_1.$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} \end{vmatrix} = x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} p_2 + x_2 p_2^{x_1-1} p_1$$

Proof of the 3×3 Case

Factorization:

 $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} \implies n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} + x_2 p_2^{x_2 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_3^{x_3} + x_3 p_3^{x_3 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} & x_3 p_3^{x_3-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} & 0 \\ -p_1^{x_1} & 0 & p_3^{x_3} \end{vmatrix} =$$

Proof of the $3\times 3~\mathrm{Case}$

Factorization:

 $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} \implies n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} + x_2 p_2^{x_2 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_3^{x_3} + x_3 p_3^{x_3 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} & x_3 p_3^{x_3-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} & 0 \\ -p_1^{x_1} & 0 & p_3^{x_3} \end{vmatrix} = x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3}$$

Proof of the 3×3 Case

Factorization:

 $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} \implies n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} + x_2 p_2^{x_2 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_3^{x_3} + x_3 p_3^{x_3 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} & x_3 p_3^{x_3-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} & 0 \\ -p_1^{x_1} & 0 & p_3^{x_3} \end{vmatrix} = x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3}$$

 $+x_2p_2^{x_2-1}p_1^{x_1}p_3^{x_3}$

Proof of the 3×3 Case

Factorization:

 $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} \implies n' = x_1 p_1^{x_1 - 1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3} + x_2 p_2^{x_2 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_3^{x_3} + x_3 p_3^{x_3 - 1} p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2}$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} & x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} & x_3 p_3^{x_3-1} \\ -p_1^{x_1} & p_2^{x_2} & 0 \\ -p_1^{x_1} & 0 & p_3^{x_3} \end{vmatrix} = x_1 p_1^{x_1-1} p_2^{x_2} p_3^{x_3}$$

$$+ x_2 p_2^{x_2-1} p_1^{x_1} p_3^{x_3}$$

$$+x_3p_3^{x_3-1}p_1^{x_1}p_2^{x_2}$$

Factorization: $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_k^{x_k}$

We assume that the result holds for the above factorization, and we proceed by induction on k. Consider the case for k + 1. We want to show that

$$\left(n \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}}\right)' = n' \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}} + n \cdot x_{k+1} p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}-1}.$$

Factorization: $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_k^{x_k}$

We assume that the result holds for the above factorization, and we proceed by induction on k. Consider the case for k + 1. We want to show that

$$\left(n \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}}\right)' = n' \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}} + n \cdot x_{k+1} p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}-1}.$$

Consider the matrix representation for an n' with k+1 prime factors shown below:

Factorization: $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_k^{x_k}$

We assume that the result holds for the above factorization, and we proceed by induction on k. Consider the case for k + 1. We want to show that

$$\left(n \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}}\right)' = n' \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}} + n \cdot x_{k+1} p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}-1}$$

Consider the matrix representation for an n with k + 1 prime factors shown below:



Factorization: $n = p_1^{x_1} p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_k^{x_k}$

We assume that the result holds for the above factorization, and we proceed by induction on k. Consider the case for k + 1. We want to show that

$$\left(n \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}}\right)' = n' \cdot p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}} + n \cdot x_{k+1} p_{k+1}^{x_{k+1}-1}$$

Consider the matrix representation for an n with k + 1 prime factors shown below:



(1) Investigate properties of our determinant representation for the number derivative on \mathbb{Z}^n .

- (1) Investigate properties of our determinant representation for the number derivative on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (2) Investigate orbits of numbers with stable factors under \mathbb{Z}^n ,

 $108 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 108 \to 108 \cdot 2 \to 108 \cdot 5 \to 108 \cdot 11 \to 108 \cdot 23 \to \dots$ $432 = 2^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 432 \to 432 \cdot 3 \to 432 \cdot 10 \to 432 \cdot 37 \to 432 \cdot 112 \to \dots$

- (1) Investigate properties of our determinant representation for the number derivative on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (2) Investigate orbits of numbers with stable factors under \mathbb{Z}^n ,

 $108 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 108 \to 108 \cdot 2 \to 108 \cdot 5 \to 108 \cdot 11 \to 108 \cdot 23 \to \dots$ $432 = 2^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 432 \to 432 \cdot 3 \to 432 \cdot 10 \to 432 \cdot 37 \to 432 \cdot 112 \to \dots$

(3) Extending the definition of the number derivative to complex domains.

- (1) Investigate properties of our determinant representation for the number derivative on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (2) Investigate orbits of numbers with stable factors under \mathbb{Z}^n ,

 $108 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 108 \to 108 \cdot 2 \to 108 \cdot 5 \to 108 \cdot 11 \to 108 \cdot 23 \to \dots$ $432 = 2^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 432 \to 432 \cdot 3 \to 432 \cdot 10 \to 432 \cdot 37 \to 432 \cdot 112 \to \dots$

- (3) Extending the definition of the number derivative to complex domains.
- (4) Solving second order eigenvalue problems for NDEs, i.e. $n'' = \lambda n$

- (1) Investigate properties of our determinant representation for the number derivative on \mathbb{Z}^n .
- (2) Investigate orbits of numbers with stable factors under \mathbb{Z}^n ,

 $108 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 108 \to 108 \cdot 2 \to 108 \cdot 5 \to 108 \cdot 11 \to 108 \cdot 23 \to \dots$ $432 = 2^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 : \quad 432 \to 432 \cdot 3 \to 432 \cdot 10 \to 432 \cdot 37 \to 432 \cdot 112 \to \dots$

- (3) Extending the definition of the number derivative to complex domains.
- (4) Solving second order eigenvalue problems for NDEs, i.e. $n'' = \lambda n$

Questions?