

Review of Submitted Abstracts
Undergraduate Research Festival

Instructions:

This page is a reference for the submission reviewers. Each reviewer will be assigned several abstracts to score based on the overall appeal, methodology, and clarity according to the scale below. Reviewers are asked to enter their scores into an online spreadsheet where final results will be tabulated.

	4-Excellent	3-Good	2-Poor	1-Unacceptable
Appeal	Innovative, original research with obvious relevance to the field	Original research with clear relevance to the field	Review of literature or previous research. Relevance to field is implied but vague	Review of literature or previous research. Does not appear relevant.
Methodology	Methods are ideal for stated purpose. Students play a primary role in research.	Methods are appropriate and students' role is significant	Methods are questionable and students' role is minimal.	Methods are inappropriate or students' role is minimal.
Clarity	Proposal is well-written, void of mistakes, and makes purpose, relevance, and methods clear.	Proposal is mostly well written, leaving only minimal lack of clarity.	Proposal is poorly written, leaving questions about the purpose, relevance, and methods of the research.	Poor writing quality and clarity make it difficult to judge the proposal at all.