

	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
Conference Type	International	National	Regional		Local
Classification of Lead Presenter or Performer	Senior	Junior	Sophomore	Freshmen	
Presentation Type	Oral Presentation at a conference that has both oral and poster presentations. OR Juried Art Show or Juried Performance which includes a presentation about the piece.	Oral Presentation ONLY conference OR Juried Art Show or Juried Performance.	Poster ONLY conference	Poster Presentation at a conference that has both oral and poster presentations. OR Non-juried Art Show or Non-juried Performance.	
Giving Presentation/ Performance	Yes - Sole Presenter/ Performer	Yes - Co-Presenter/ Co-Performer			No
Undergraduate Conference		No	Student only (Grad or Undergrad)	Yes	
Council Assessment 1	Abstract or description is well-written, void of mistakes, and makes purpose, relevance, and methods clear.	Abstract or description is mostly well written, leaving only minimal lack of clarity.		Abstract or description is poorly written, leaving questions about the purpose, relevance, and methods of the research.	Poor writing quality and clarity make it difficult to judge the abstract or description at all.
Council Assessment 2	Research or piece is innovative and original with obvious relevance to the field	Research or piece is original with clear relevance to the field		Review of literature or previous research: originality of findings from the review is implied but vague	Review of literature or previous research: does not appear relevant and/or the findings are merely a summary of previous work and/or involve plagiarism