

	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point	0 points
Conference Type	National		Regional		Local
Classification	Senior	Junior	Sophomore	Freshmen	
Presentation Type	Paper/poster (PAPER)	Paper ONLY conference	Poster ONLY conference	Paper/poster (POSTER)	
Giving Presentation	Yes - Sole Presenter	Yes - Co-Presenter			No
Undergraduate Conference		No		Yes	
Council Assessment 1	Abstract is well-written, void of mistakes, and makes purpose, relevance, and methods clear.	Abstract is mostly well written, leaving only minimal lack of clarity.		Abstract is poorly written, leaving questions about the purpose, relevance, and methods of the research.	Poor writing quality and clarity make it difficult to judge the abstract at all.
Council Assessment 2	Research is innovative and original with obvious relevance to the field	Research is original with clear relevance to the field		Review of literature or previous research: originality of findings from the review is implied but vague	Review of literature or previous research: does not appear relevant and/or the findings are merely a summary of previous work and/or involve plagiarism