



Individual Instructor Report for BGRK 611.W2 - Elementary Greek I - 10770-202110 Kelli Gibson

Project Title: **Student Opinion of Instruction Fall 2020**

Courses Audience: **15**

Responses Received: **5**

Response Ratio: **33.3%**

Report Comments

Course evaluations are a good tool you can use to adapt your course and teaching styles and methods to be as effective as possible in future classes. They're also an important element of your annual evaluations and tenure and promotion processes. We encourage you to save each report each semester for your reference.

Uploading the reports in your Scheduled Teaching listings in Activity Insight can be a good place to keep track of them.

If you have technical problems accessing a report, please contact Educational Technology at courseeval@acu.edu. If you would like help interpreting your results or looking for teaching techniques to respond to this feedback, please contact Cliff Barbarick, the director of faculty development in the Adams Center, at cab11c@acu.edu.

Creation Date: **Wednesday, March 17, 2021**

Course Questions:

	Mean	Count	%(1)	%(2)	%(3)	%(4)	%(5)
As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	4.60	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	60.0%
My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	4.80	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%

Instructor Questions:

	Mean	Count	%(1)	%(2)	%(3)	%(4)	%(5)
The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor was well prepared for class.	4.60	5	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%
The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Course Questions:

1. As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (2)	40.0%
agree completely (3)	60.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	0.55
2. My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (1)	20.0%
agree completely (4)	80.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.80
Standard Deviation	0.45
As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	
Comment	
- I have taken Greek before, so while I do find it a good reminder, I didn't feel like I got a lot better (that is not saying that I was good before taking this class)	
My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	
Comment	
- Everyone was very encouraging and considerate during the process. I was excited when I could teach some people some parts here and there.	

Instructor Questions:


1. The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00

2. The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00
3. The instructor was well prepared for class.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (1)	20.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (4)	80.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.60
Standard Deviation	0.89
4. The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00
5. The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00

6. The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
050%100%	
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00
7. The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (5)	100.0%
[Total (5)]	
050%100%	
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00
The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor was well prepared for class.	
Comment	
- Unsure, never showed for the optional zoom class time. There were scheduling conflicts	
The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	
Comment	
[No Response]	


Course Questions: - Aggregate Chart

Note that in the following aggregate chart "Agree Completely" and "Agree" have been grouped together as "Agree" while "Disagree Completely" and "Disagree" have been grouped together as "Disagree" and "agree and disagree in equal amounts" is not included on this chart.




Instructor Questions: - Aggregate Chart


Note that in the following aggregate chart "Agree Completely" and "Agree" have been grouped together as "Agree" while "Disagree Completely" and "Disagree" have been grouped together as "Disagree" and "agree and disagree in equal amounts" is not included on this chart.




Course Questions: - Comparative Scores




Instructor Questions: - Comparative Scores




2. The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.




3. The instructor was well prepared for class.




4. The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.




5. The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.



6. The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.



7. The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.



Rating scale questions, top and bottom ranks

Strengths			
1	The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
2	The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
3	The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
Areas for Improvement			
1	Leadership: Graduates will have ability to lead and equip the church for its various ministries.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	4.00
2	Care of Souls: Graduates will have capacity to exercise care of souls in fitting and compassionate ways.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	4.00
3	Character: Graduates will demonstrate growth in Christian character essential for ministerial faithfulness and effectiveness.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	4.00

What did the instructor do that made the course effective? Be specific (for example: particular assignments, discussions, presentations, etc.).

Comments
Her weekly videos helped a lot in explaining the material to be covered that week. Dr. Gibson had tutoring classes weekly live in Zoom, plus with and her assistance, they offered private tutoring.
The weekly zoom meetings were helpful as was having a GA who could tutor us in Greek.
The instructor had zoom meetings with the students. This was a great initiative that allowed students to interact. Due to some challenges I missed most of the meetings but I would ask her to maintain them in the next Greek class she her new students
Everything was well organized. I never struggled with figuring out what my next assignment was. Her videos were very informative answering any questions before I asked them.
The use of materials, videos and the ability to watch the zoom calls were very effective. The most helpful for me were the quizlet and extra sheets at the end of the lessons

What could the instructor do to improve the effectiveness of this course? Be specific.

Comments
The only thing I would have her change is her PowerPoint presentations should be set up for her lecture or be more interactive to the context she is speaking about.
Slow down the pacing of the course.
The instructor was great.
Honestly, other than this class being online, I thought it was great!
I don't have any suggestions, for the content she has done an amazing job at making an intimidating subject accessible.

Do you have additional comments about your experience in this course?

Comments
The course is great. It requires more time. It started at the time COVID was at a peak in my country. The library I used to go to was closed. I had study home when the kids had gone to bed because during the day I was at work. It was tough. I thank God that I have made it to the end. I may have failed to interact that much with the instructor because every time I chasing deadlines for assignments.
Dr. Gibson was fantastic but having the ta was very helpful as well. I really appreciated how sympathetic Dr. Gibson was this semester. I had a lot on my plate and she was incredibly helpful while still requiring the same level of engagement in the materials.

Program Student Learning Outcomes for the Graduate School of Theology

	Mean	Count	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5	Not Applicable
Christian Scriptures: Graduates will have knowledge of the content and theological shape of the Christian Scriptures.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
Christian Tradition: Graduates will have knowledge of the major theological content, structures, and procedures of the Christian tradition.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
Languages: Graduates will demonstrate competency in languages appropriate for their degree.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Identity: Graduate will have clarity in professional identity.	4.75	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
Communication: Graduates will have facility in critical thinking, analysis, and in oral and written communication.	4.50	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%
Technological resources and services were adequate to achieve the learning goals of this class.	4.75	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%
Library resources and services were adequate for my research needs.	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%
The organization of the course was:	4.75	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%

Instructor Evaluation

	Mean	Count	Very Unsatisfactory 1	Satisfactory 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5
The professor's skill in communicating the information effectively was:	4.80	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%
The professor's skill in helping me think for myself in this course was:	4.60	5	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%
The professor seemed to be responsive to the needs of the students:	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The professor made me feel free to ask questions, disagree, and express my ideas:	5.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV

	Mean	Count	Very Unsatisfactory 1	Satisfactory 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5
Contemporary Culture: Graduates will have ability to integrate theology in contemporary cultural contexts.	4.20	5	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
History: Awareness of the historical and contemporary beliefs and practices of the worldwide church, and the StoneCampbell heritage in particular.	4.20	5	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
Leadership: Graduates will have ability to lead and equip the church for its various ministries.	4.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%
Care of Souls: Graduates will have capacity to exercise care of souls in fitting and compassionate ways.	4.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%
Character: Graduates will demonstrate growth in Christian character essential for ministerial faithfulness and effectiveness.	4.00	5	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%

What did the professor do that was effective? Be specific and name the actions that helped you as a learner. For example, you could mention specific handouts, AV presentations, particular lectures, presentations, discussions, assignments, or aspects of the syllabus.

Comments
The handouts she gave us was great in helping understand how the word change and how it should be used.
The online flashcards, zoom meetings, recordings of vocab pronunciation, worksheets, and not having timed tests were all extremely helpful.
The instructor provided lecture in video and audio format. The information in these lectures was very adequate for one to understand the information being share. This was great!
Keep the videos, even if you do a face-to-face class. Not only will they help students with review, but also if they miss a class (which helps during these times). I think that everything was very well organized, please don't change it.
Handouts, quizlet, availability

What specific recommendations do you have for the improvement of this course? Name particular actions that the professor could do to improve learning in the classroom. Name concrete ways the course could better meet student learning outcomes.

Comments
For me, Dr. Gibson Powerpoint needs to be more in sync with what she was speaking on. I may be more visual; there were moments I would have liked to see the words being spoken on or how to put the phase together, to have a clearer example.
Slow down the pacing of the course.
If there was anything I would recommend, it would just be to give more time for translation. I normally have time to do that over the weekend because that was when I was free. However, I understand that you want to give people time for the discussion board.

What was the value of this course for you?

Comments
The course has helped me understand better the context in some of the bible verses that I read.
This course is very valuable. It will help quite a lot in exegesis.
It was good to remember Greek. Gives important tools I have used for years