



Individual Instructor Report for BGRK 612.W1 - Elementary Greek II - 21209-202120 Kelli Gibson

Project Title: **Student Opinion of Instruction Spring 2021**

Courses Audience: **12**

Responses Received: **3**

Response Ratio: **25.0%**

Report Comments

Course evaluations are a good tool you can use to adapt your course and teaching styles and methods to be as effective as possible in future classes. They're also an important element of your annual evaluations and tenure and promotion processes. We encourage you to save each report each semester for your reference.

Uploading the reports in your Scheduled Teaching listings in Activity Insight can be a good place to keep track of them.

If you have technical problems accessing a report, please contact Educational Technology at courseeval@acu.edu. If you would like help interpreting your results or looking for teaching techniques to respond to this feedback, please contact Cliff Barbarick, the director of faculty development in the Adams Center, at cab11c@acu.edu.

Creation Date: **Tuesday, August 10, 2021**



Course Questions:

	Mean	Count	%(1)	%(2)	%(3)	%(4)	%(5)
As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	4.33	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	4.33	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%


Instructor Questions:

	Mean	Count	%(1)	%(2)	%(3)	%(4)	%(5)
The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor was well prepared for class.	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%

Course Questions:

1. As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	
	
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.33
Standard Deviation	1.15
2. My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	
	
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.33
Standard Deviation	0.58
As a result of this course experience, I have a better understanding of the subject matter.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
My experience in this course reflected ACU's mission to educate students for Christian service and leadership throughout the world.	
Comment	
[No Response]	

Instructor Questions:

1. The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	
	
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	0.58

2. The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.		
disagree completely (0)	0.0%	
disagree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%	
agree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree completely (3)	100.0%	
[Total (3)]		
0	50%	100%
Statistics		Value
Mean		5.00
Standard Deviation		0.00

3. The instructor was well prepared for class.		
disagree completely (0)	0.0%	
disagree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%	
agree generally (1)	33.3%	
agree completely (2)	66.7%	
[Total (3)]		
0	50%	100%
Statistics		Value
Mean		4.67
Standard Deviation		0.58


4. The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.		
disagree completely (0)	0.0%	
disagree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%	
agree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree completely (3)	100.0%	
[Total (3)]		
0	50%	100%
Statistics		Value
Mean		5.00
Standard Deviation		0.00

5. The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.		
disagree completely (0)	0.0%	
disagree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%	
agree generally (0)	0.0%	
agree completely (3)	100.0%	
[Total (3)]		
0	50%	100%
Statistics		Value
Mean		5.00
Standard Deviation		0.00

6. The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (0)	0.0%
agree completely (3)	100.0%
[Total (3)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.00
7. The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	
disagree completely (0)	0.0%
disagree generally (0)	0.0%
agree and disagree in equal amounts (0)	0.0%
agree generally (1)	33.3%
agree completely (2)	66.7%
[Total (3)]	
0	50%100%
Statistics	Value
Mean	4.67
Standard Deviation	0.58
The instructor communicated the course material clearly.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor was well prepared for class.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	
Comment	
- Dr. G is hands down the best in this category!	
The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.	
Comment	
[No Response]	
The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.	
Comment	
[No Response]	


Course Questions: - Aggregate Chart

Note that in the following aggregate chart "Agree Completely" and "Agree" have been grouped together as "Agree" while "Disagree Completely" and "Disagree" have been grouped together as "Disagree" and "agree and disagree in equal amounts" is not included on this chart.




Instructor Questions: - Aggregate Chart


Note that in the following aggregate chart "Agree Completely" and "Agree" have been grouped together as "Agree" while "Disagree Completely" and "Disagree" have been grouped together as "Disagree" and "agree and disagree in equal amounts" is not included on this chart.




Course Questions: - Comparative Scores




Instructor Questions: - Comparative Scores




2. The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.




3. The instructor was well prepared for class.




4. The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.




5. The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.



6. The instructor treated me with respect and fairness.



7. The instructor was receptive and responsive to my questions and concerns.



Rating scale questions, top and bottom ranks

Strengths			
1	The instructor showed a genuine interest in the subject and a desire to share it with the students.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
2	The instructor graded assignments and/or exams in a timely manner.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
3	The instructor created a hospitable environment for learning.	Instructor Questions:	5.00
Areas for Improvement			
1	Contemporary Culture: Graduates will have ability to integrate theology in contemporary cultural contexts.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	3.67
2	History: Awareness of the historical and contemporary beliefs and practices of the worldwide church, and the StoneCampbell heritage in particular.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	3.67
3	Leadership: Graduates will have ability to lead and equip the church for its various ministries.	Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV	3.67

What did the instructor do that made the course effective? Be specific (for example: particular assignments, discussions, presentations, etc.).

Comments
Dr. Gibson is so knowledgeable that she anticipates student questions and struggles. Rarely did I have a question that wasn't answered in her pre-recorded lectures.
The videos were helpful as was the feedback and the forum at which we could see others students problems and view her feedback to them. It answered questions I did not think to ask.
She tried to communicate, offer herself, and explain the course

What could the instructor do to improve the effectiveness of this course? Be specific.

Comments
Update Canvas with correct answers.
I could tell that it was easier when Dr. Gibson had a TA, but she did a great job without one as well.
More visual

Do you have additional comments about your experience in this course?

Comments
Greek was not one of my favorite subjects, but Dr. Gibson unlocked a door that showed a new way to love the Scriptures and provided the key to this mystery. She is motivational and does not let her students sit in discouragement.
I appreciated how Dr. Gibson made something as intimidating as Greek approachable for us.

Program Student Learning Outcomes for the Graduate School of Theology

	Mean	Count	Very Poor 1	Poor 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5	Not Applicable
Christian Scriptures: Graduates will have knowledge of the content and theological shape of the Christian Scriptures.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Christian Tradition: Graduates will have knowledge of the major theological content, structures, and procedures of the Christian tradition.	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Languages: Graduates will demonstrate competency in languages appropriate for their degree.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Identity: Graduate will have clarity in professional identity.	4.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%
Communication: Graduates will have facility in critical thinking, analysis, and in oral and written communication.	4.33	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%
Technological resources and services were adequate to achieve the learning goals of this class.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Library resources and services were adequate for my research needs.	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
The organization of the course was:	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

Instructor Evaluation

	Mean	Count	Very Unsatisfactory 1	Satisfactory 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5
The professor's skill in communicating the information effectively was:	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
The professor's skill in helping me think for myself in this course was:	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
The professor seemed to be responsive to the needs of the students:	4.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%
The professor made me feel free to ask questions, disagree, and express my ideas:	5.00	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Student Learning Outcomes for the MDIV

	Mean	Count	Very Unsatisfactory 1	Satisfactory 2	Good 3	Very Good 4	Excellent 5
Contemporary Culture: Graduates will have ability to integrate theology in contemporary cultural contexts.	3.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
History: Awareness of the historical and contemporary beliefs and practices of the worldwide church, and the StoneCampbell heritage in particular.	3.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Leadership: Graduates will have ability to lead and equip the church for its various ministries.	3.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Care of Souls: Graduates will have capacity to exercise care of souls in fitting and compassionate ways.	3.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Character: Graduates will demonstrate growth in Christian character essential for ministerial faithfulness and effectiveness.	3.67	3	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%

What did the professor do that was effective? Be specific and name the actions that helped you as a learner. For example, you could mention specific handouts, AV presentations, particular lectures, presentations, discussions, assignments, or aspects of the syllabus.

Comments

Dr. Gibson was always available to her students and found ways to explain concepts so that the student could relate. Her pre-recorded lectures were especially helpful in answering questions and providing quality strategies for translation.

What specific recommendations do you have for the improvement of this course? Name particular actions that the professor could do to improve learning in the classroom. Name concrete ways the course could better meet student learning outcomes.

Comments

Without a GA, Dr. Gibson was stretched so her detailed comments on assignments were a bit limited, however, she more than made up for that by having office hours and being available for her students.

What was the value of this course for you?

Comments

Having the ability to translate the scriptures for myself and finding that my translation could be more impactful than some of the common translations.